Requests for Proposals Financial Advisor

Due: Tuesday, December 13, 2022 At: 10:00 AM



Carteret Housing Authority

96 Roosevelt Ave, Carteret, NJ 07008 (732) 541-6800 Phone (732) 541-2867 Fax

Eric Chubenko, Executive Director

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FINANCIAL ADVISOR

The Carteret Housing Authority hereby requests proposals for services of Financial Advisor. Copies of documents setting forth the scope of services, contract terms and conditions, proposal requirements, criteria for evaluation of proposals, and proposal submission requirements may be obtained from the Carteret Housing Authority by telephoning the Administrative Offices at 732-541-6800, or visiting such offices at 96 Roosevelt Ave, Carteret, NJ 07008, Monday through Friday between the hours of 9:00 AM and 3:00 PM. Proposals are due in an original and two copies submitted to the office of the Carteret Housing Authority, 96 Roosevelt Ave, Carteret, NJ 07008, Attention: Eric Chubenko on or before Tuesday, December 13, 2022 at 10:00AM. All proposals must be enclosed in a sealed envelope bearing on the outside the name of the bidder and the words: "RFP – Financial Advisor". The Housing Authority reserves the right to reject any and all proposals received for these services. It also reserves the right to terminate the consultant, for convenience, at any time during the term of the contract. This solicitation is being made in accordance with the New Jersey Local Unit Pay to Play law (NJSA 19:44A 20.4 et seq.) as a "fair and open" process. Eric Chubenko, Executive Director

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR FINANCIAL ADVISOR

The Carteret Housing Authority (hereinafter referred to as the "Authority") requires the services of a qualified individual or firm to provide the Authority with necessary services as financial advisor relating to finalizing the conversion of 50 units of public housing to the Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) Program or Streamlined Voluntary Conversion.

.

SCOPE OF SERVICES

The scope of services will include advising and providing opinions in assisting the Authority in completing the conversion of 50 units of public housing that have already received their CHAP under RAD or Streamlined Voluntary Conversion. The scope of services would include proceedings before various agencies of the State of New Jersey, the US Department of Housing & Urban Development and meetings of the Authority's Board of Commissioners.

PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

Proposals shall contain a description of the respondent's qualifications and experience including demonstration of prior experience as accountant\advisor relating to involvement in public financings regarding the implementation of the RAD program or Streamlined Voluntary Conversion. A schedule of hourly billing rates for all categories of staff who will be assigned to perform contract services. If a contract is awarded: other charges, if any, to be billed under the contract.

The description of respondent's qualifications and experience shall evidence/demonstrate possession of the knowledge of law and applicable procedures pertaining to the Scope of Services.

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Proposals will be evaluated based on the degree to which a respondent meets the qualifications and experience requirements and the degree of acceptability of his/her/its proposed hourly billing rates, other charges, if any, and the total contract fee. A weight of 0% to 80% will be assigned to his/her/its qualifications and experience: and a weight of 0% to 20% will be assigned to his/her/its proposed hourly billing rates, other charges, if any, contract fee, based on the degree of acceptability of same to the Authority.

CONTRACT AWARD

It is the Authority's intent to award a contract for the service required thereunder in accord with competitive proposal procedures which provide for negotiations; however, if the quality of the initial proposal received is such that no purpose would be served by conducting negotiations the Authority will award a contract without discussion/negotiations.

The Authority retains the right to reject any and all proposals or to award a contract to the respondent whose proposal is deemed to be most advantageous to the Authority, taking into consideration the evaluation factors cited above. All proposers will be notified in writing promptly if a contract award is made under this RFP; such notice will identify the proposal selected.

SUBMISSIONS OF PROPOSALS

Proposals (original and two copies) shall be delivered to the Authority no later than 10:00AM on Tuesday, December 13, 2022. Same shall be addressed as follows:

Carteret Housing Authority 96 Roosevelt Avenue Carteret, New Jersey Tel (732) 541-6800

All proposals shall be submitted in sealed envelopes; the wording "PROPOSAL FOR FINANCIAL ADVISOR SERVICES"

Eric Chubenko, Executive Director

process	S:				
1. 2.	PROPOSAL WAS RECEIVED BY DUE DATE:TRUEFALSE RESPONDENT'S NAME DOES NOT APPEAR ON HUD LIST OF DISBARRED, SUSPENDED OR INELIGIBLE CONTRACTORS:				
3.	TRUEFALSE PROPOSALS SUBMITTED COMPLIES WITH THE PROPOSED REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH IN THE RFP:TRUEFALSE				
		(COMPLETE THE FOI	LLOWING IF 1, 2	, AND 3 ABOVE ARE TRUE)	
	PROPOSAL EVALUATION FACTOR			MAXIMUM WEIGHTWEIGHT TO BE ASSIGNED* ASSIGNED	
1.	RESPO POSSES QUALI	E TO WHICH NDENT EVIDENCES SSION OF DESIRED FICATIONS AND IENCED	80%		
2.	OF RES FEE AN OTHER PERFO	E OF ACCEPTABILITY PONDENT'S PROPOSE D, IF APPLICABLE, CHARGES FOR RMANCE OF THE IED WORK SCOPE	D		
NOTE:	ABOVE	WEIGHT ASSIGNED (S E, SAME CANNOT EXCE The higher the weight assi	EED 100%)		
		The higher the weight assi	gned, the more acc	eeptable the proposal.	
DATE:					
RATIN	RFP IG KEY:	FINANCIA	AL ADVISOR SE	RVICES	
Factors Excelle Very G Good Satisfac Unsatis	ent food ctory sfactory	80 points 70 points 60 points 50 points 0 points		NOTE: Points = % points	
1.	Lowest	Responsible/Reasonable F	ee Proposed	20 points	
2.		5% of 1 above		15 points	
3.		10% of 1 above		10 points	
4. 5.		15% of 1 above 20% of 1 above		5 points 0 points	
				1	

The Authority's Review and Evaluation Committee will utilize the following form in the evaluation

NOTE: The higher the overall rating assigned, the more acceptable the proposal is deemed to be.

5.